1 2	Law Office of Thomas Easton 967 Sunset Dr Springfield OR 97477	
3	Tel: 541-746-1335 easton3535@gmail.com	
4	JONATHAN H. LEVY CSB #158032	
5	37 Royale Pointe Dr Hilton Head SC 29926	
6 7	Tel: 843-837-8413 Fax: 202-318-2406 jonlevy@hargray.com	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	UNITED STATES DI	ISTRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15		
16	TAIWAN CIVIL RIGHTS LITIGATION	NO. C10-362 JL
17	ORGANIZATION (TCRLO), FORT NIGHT HOLDINGS LLC, and PACIFIC SENTRY ASSOCIATES LLC.,	VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR:
18	Plaintiffs,	1. AN ACCOUNTING;
19	v.	2. UNJUST ENRICHMENT;
20	<b>,</b>	3. RESTITUTION;
21	KUOMINTANG BUSINESS MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (KBMC)	4. DECLARATORY JUDGMENT.
22	and DOES 1-20,	
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		1
26		
27		
28		

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	Page I. Introductory Statement	
3		
4	II. DEFINITIONS	
5	III. JURISDICTION & VENUE	
6		
7	IV. STANDING OF PLAINTIFFS 5	
8 9	V. Plaintiffs 6	
10	VI. DEFENDANT	
11 12	VII. FACTS PERTAINING TO BONDS	
13	VIII. FACTS PERTAINING TO UNJUST ENRICHMENT	
14 15	IX. LEGAL AND EQUITABLE TOLLING & ESTOPPEL	
16	X. CAUSES OF ACTION	
17 18	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - ACCOUNTING	
19	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION - UNJUST ENRICHMENT	
20 21	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION - RESTITUTION	
22	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION - DECLARATORY RELIEF	
23 24	XI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
25	VERIFICATION	
26		
27	EXHIBIT A	
28		
	Complaint $\sim$ C10-362 JL Page 2 of 14	

# I. INTRODUCTORY STATEMENTS REGARDING COMPLAINT

- 1. This is a civil action arising under international law and the laws of the United States of America on behalf of named plaintiffs hereafter referred to as *bondholders*, who have suffered monetary loss due the systematic and corrupt financial practices by an organization currently known as the Kuomintang Business Management Committee hereinafter abbreviated as KBMC, which under various names exerted substantial control over the finances of the Government of China (1912-1926) and the Republic of China (ROC) from 1927 until approximately 2000 and currently functions as a private, non sovereign entity managing banks, shipping lines, technology companies and assorted other businesses ventures worth an estimated \$6 billion dollars.
- 2. This action is made necessary by the refusal of the non defendant sovereign entities ROC and the Peoples Republic of China (PRC) to honor numerous defaulted securities known as *bearer bonds* and owned by plaintiff bondholders. This is a legal action against the KBMC as the ultimate beneficiary of these defaulted bonds to provide an accounting of converted assets and disgorge property in its possession and restore the profits of unjust enrichment.
- 3. Defendant KBMC has long been involved in the finances of both the public and private sectors of China, Hong Kong, and Taiwan. At the outbreak of the Second World War in 1939, the ROC defaulted on all of its foreign debt obligations known as "bearer bonds." It then issued other bonds sold to overseas Chinese known as Victory and Allied bonds and similarly defaulted on these bonds in 1949 when the ROC fled into exile on Taiwan. The ROC in exile by Presidential Order on October 29, 2003 and implemented from March 1, 2004 by the Order of the Executive Yuan decreed that the following debts shall not be repaid prior to national unification:
  - 1. Outstanding foreign currency bonds issued in the Mainland prior to 1949 and the short-term Gold Bonds of 1949.
  - 2. Various debts owed by any government bank as well as any other financial institution accepting deposits before their retreat from the Mainland

In 2008, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York found that

certain bondholder claims against the PRC were barred by the statute of limitation. *Morris v. PRC*, 478 F. Supp. 2d 561.

5. Plaintiff bondholders seek an accounting, declaratory relief, restitution, disgorgement, and other remedies from KMT regarding a cross section of Chinese bearer bonds in their possession.

#### II. DEFINITIONS

- 6. The term "bearer bond" refers to an unregistered debt security issued in this case by the Government of China or ROC in which no records are kept of the owner, or the transactions involving ownership. Whoever physically holds the paper on which the bond is issued owns the instrument. All the bonds mentioned herein are bearer bonds.
- 7. The term "defaulted bonds" in terms of this action refers only to the issues possessed by plaintiff bondholders for which they have paid value and in good faith that these are actual securities that may be eventually redeemed through legal or extra-legal means and in reliance on the ROC presidential decree of 2003.
- 8. Republic of China (ROC) refers to the government of China 1927-1949 and the government of the Republic of China in Exile on Taiwan 1949 to present which is still recognized by a small number of minor countries as the legitimate government of China. The ROC in exile also claims to be the government of Mongolia, and Touva, a Russian province.
- 9. Government of China refers to the Chinese government in the period after the fall of the Imperial Chinese Government in 1912 and the recognition of the Kuomintang controlled Republic of China in 1927.
- 10. Peoples Republic of China (PRC) refers to the currently recognized government of China.
- 11. Kuomintang (KMT) refers to the Chinese Nationalist Party which was the ruling party of portions of China from 1917-1927 and the ROC from 1927-2000. The Kuomintang structure was organizationally modeled on the Communist Party of the Soviet Union and thus functioned in tandem with the ROC despite being a separate entity. With the election of Chen

Shui-bian of the Democratic Progressive Party as president of the ROC in exile on Taiwan in 2000, the symbiotic relationship between the KMT and the ROC was severed.

# III. JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 12. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(a) in that the amount in controversy in a claim asserted and sought to be asserted herein by a plaintiff exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs and plaintiffs herein are U.S. corporate citizens and thus diverse in citizenship from defendant KBMC which is a juridic citizen of the Republic of China in Exile on Taiwan.
- 13. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over plaintiffs' non-federal claims pursuant to 28 USC § 1367 and for any claims not otherwise covered by the aforementioned jurisdictional bases.
- 14. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the KBMC in that the named defendant conducts for profit commerce within the State of California and under the name Kuomintang as an unincorporated non profit California association long doing business pursuant to California Corporations Code § 18020 in San Francisco and Oakland and in Chinatowns throughout the United States as well as maintaining a presence in Washington, DC.
- 15. Venue is proper in this Court because the defendant is doing business in this District and may be found in this District within the meaning of 28 USC § 1391(c) and/or, as aliens, may be sued in any district pursuant to 28 USC § 1391(d).
- 16. Defendant may be found in this District and plaintiffs are unable to pursue remedies in the places where the transaction occurred in that no adequate or available remedies exist and that such efforts would be futile in that the transactions occurred in multiple jurisdictions and plaintiffs have a well founded fear of retaliation in the PRC or ROC were they to file an action in those jurisdictions.

## IV. STANDING OF PLAINTIFFS

17. Plaintiffs Pacific Sentry Associates LLC and Fort Night Holdings LLC possess

specific bearer bonds enumerated *infra* issued by ROC and the Government of China from which KBMC has been unjustly enriched.

- 18. The organizational plaintiff, Taiwan Civil Rights Litigation Organization (TCRLO) has as actual members and represents members in Taiwan and elsewhere who own bearer bonds and therefore has a direct stake and interest in an accounting of and a declaratory judgement regarding these bonds. The individuals and groups represented by TCRLO may maintain an action their own right but do not do so out of fear of retaliation by the ROC and KMT or are otherwise unable to do so.
- 19. Plaintiffs Pacific Sentry Associates and Fort Night Holdings have paid monetary consideration for their bonds in secondary or tertiary markets, often above and beyond the face value of the bonds and in expectation that these bonds may one day be redeemed.

# V. PLAINTIFFS

- 20. Plaintiff Pacific Sentry Associates LLC is a Wyoming LLC holds the following bearer bonds for which it has paid reasonable value in expectation of redemption with a current face value of approximately \$10,000 US:
  - a. One Hundred Twenty Six -1913 5% Chinese Government Reorganization Gold Loan Bonds denominated £20;
  - b. Forty Seven 5% Chinese Government Reorganization Gold Loan Bonds denominated £100;
  - c. One 1921 8% Government of the Republic of China Lung-Tsing-U-Hai Railway Loan bond denominated 500 Belgian Francs;
  - d. One 1937 4% National Government of the Republic of China Liberty Bond denominated 5 Yuan;
  - e. One 1937 4% National Government of the Republic of China Liberty Bond denominated 10 Yuan;
  - f. One 1942 4% National Government of the Republic of China Allied Victory Bond US Dollar Loan denominated \$20 US;

1. Two - 1913 5.5% Government of the Province of Petchili Bonds denominated £20;

- m. One 1916 8% Chinese Government Loan Bond denominated £100;
- n. One 1920 Republic of China Lung-Tsing-U-Hai Railway bonds denominated 500 Belgian Francs;
- o. Five hundred and forty one 1925 5% Republic of China French Bonds denominated \$50 Gold US;
- p. One 1937 4% National Government of the Republic of ChinaLiberty Bond denominated 5 Yuan;
- q. One 1937 4% National Government of the Republic of China Liberty Bond denominated 10 Yuan;
- r. One 1937 4% National Government of the Republic of China Liberty Bond denominated 50 Yuan;
- s. Two 1937 4% National Government of the Republic of China Liberty Bond denominated 100 Yuan.
- 22. Taiwan Civil Rights Litigation Organization (TCRLO) is a California non profit corporation which seeks redress for its members and supporters through civil rights litigation regarding property interests fraudulently conveyed by the KBMC. TCRLO litigates against defendants having legal standing to be sued under the Taiwan Relations Act in U.S. Courts as a public policy reaffirmation of statutory requirements to preserve and enhance these human rights objectives in Taiwan.

# VI. DEFENDANT - KUOMINTANG BUSINESS MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (KBMC)

23. Defendant Kuomintang Business Management Committee (KBMC) is based in Taipei, Taiwan but does business internationally in the areas of banking, shipping, manufacturing and other commercial ventures. KBMC controls what are known as *guomindang dangyingshiye* or nationalist controlled enterprises at one time equaling 30% of Taiwan's Gross National Product (GNP). It's assets are now valued at approximately \$6 billion US.

24. Plaintiffs are unaware of the true names and capacities of defendants sued herein as DOES 1 - 20, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believes and therefore alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that plaintiffs' injuries as herein alleged were proximately caused by such defendants. These fictitiously named defendants are herein referred to collectively as "defendants." These defendants are juridic citizens of countries other than the United States.

VII. FACTS PERTAINING TO BONDS

25. All the defaulted bonds were issued by the Republic of China or its predecessors and had actual payments or assurances of future payment made upon them by the ROC. The current values of the bonds are unknown to plaintiffs. All the bonds are covered under the ROC Presidential Order of 2003 and are believed to be in default as to principle and interest no later than 1939 except as follows below.

26. The 1937 National Government of the Republic of China 4% Liberty Bond issue was extensively marketed to Chinese expatriates in the United States and cleared through Bank of China in New York now called Mega International Commercial Bank as part of a Chinese \$500,000,000 issuance with a redemption date of approximately 1970. The bond issue was partially redeemed between 1945-1949 at a conversion rate of 27,050 times face value. Partial redemption was halted in 1949 and the remainder of the issue is in default. The current value is unknown.

- 27. The 1940 National Government of the Republic of China 6% Military Supplies Loan Bond was extensively marketed to Chinese expatriates in the United States and cleared through Bank of China in New York now Mega International Commercial Bank. The redemption date for these bonds was 1968 but were in default as of approximately 1948. The current value is unknown.
  - 28. The 1942 4% Allied Victory US Dollar Loan Bond was extensively marketed to

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Chinese expatriates in the United States and cleared through Bank of China in New York now Mega International Commercial Bank. The redemption date for these bonds was 1953 but were in default as of approximately 1948. The current value is unknown.

# VIII. FACTS PERTAINING TO UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 29. The KBMC acquired assets through its relationship with the Kuomintang which was the government of the ROC 1927-1949 and the government of the Republic of China in Exile 1949-2000.
- 30. The assets that KBMC acquired included the remaining proceeds of the defaulted bonds which were commingled with other assets in 1950 when the KMT overhauled the financial system of Taiwan under the advisement of the KBMC which profited from the conversion of Taiwanese, formerly Japanese, and Chinese assets into KMT assets at the expense of bondholders. In 1950 the KBMC was further enriched at the expense of bondholders when the ROC converted its island currency to the New Taiwan Dollar much to the detriment of those bondholders holding gold backed bonds. Upon a loss of the gold collateral of the defaulted bonds, the KBMC wrongfully acquired the surplus gold during this fraudulent conveyance in 1950 at the expense of bondholders.
- 31. KBMC has profited and continues to profit as the expense of bondholders by holding assets derived from the defaulted bond issues and that may be used to redeem defaulted bonds and pay accrued interest.
- 32. Prior to 2000, the KBMC through its relationship with the KMT dictated the economic policies of the ROC including its bond issuing and redemption policies. The Presidential Decree of 2003 by Chen Shui-bian was part of his effort to sever the KMT and KBMC from the government of the ROC.

# IX. LEGAL AND EQUITABLE TOLLING & ESTOPPEL

33. No statute of limitations has begun to run on the equitable causes of action stated herein because defendants' misconduct is continuing; defendants have not made any reasonable

attempt to disgorge their illicit profits, account for property, return property, acknowledge their wrongdoing or otherwise compensate or make amends to bondholders. Defendants have continued to reap profits as a result of their actions and have refused all requests by plaintiffs for an accounting of the funds in question. Defendants are therefore estopped from interposing any type of time bar defense to these equitable claims.

34. The Statute of Limitation is tolled by the ROC Presidential Order on October 29, 2003 of Chen Shui-bian and implemented from March 1, 2004 by the Order of the Executive Yuan that decreed that the defaulted bonds described herein shall not be repaid prior to national unification.

# X. CAUSES OF ACTION

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - ACCOUNTING

- 35. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein, as though fully set forth, the allegations of all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 36. Defendants have never accounted for or paid the value of plaintiffs' property or the profits which defendants have derived from that property..
- 37. As a result of the value of their property having been taken from them, against their will and without just payment by defendants, plaintiffs have been unable to use or invest those assets and are lacking information about the value of their bonds including demands by the Foreign Bondholders Protective Council.
- 38. Defendants have had actual and constructive notice of past demands for an accounting and refuse to conduct an accounting.
- 39. As a result of defendants' aforesaid wrongful acts and omissions, plaintiffs have been injured and damaged and demand the equitable remedy of accounting to determine value of their property and the profits derived therefrom.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION - UNJUST ENRICHMENT

40. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein, as though fully set forth, the allegations of

all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.

- 41. Defendants received, retained and made use of assets given to them by members of the Kuomintang Regime, which rightfully belong to plaintiffs.
- 42. Defendants have failed to account for and/or pay to plaintiffs the value of their property and profits derived therefrom.
- 43. As a result of defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, defendants have been unjustly enriched to the detriment of plaintiffs.
- 44. Plaintiffs therefore demand restitution and judgment against defendants in an amount to be determined at trial, together with interest, attorneys' fees, and the costs of this action.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION - RESTITUTION

- 45. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein, as though fully set forth, the allegations of all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 46. Plaintiffs' assets have been taken, thus denying plaintiffs the use and enjoyment thereof; defendants have wrongfully used and profited from that property; and compensation in damages is inadequate in that the property taken cannot be replaced and the harm inflicted cannot be undone by mere compensation.
- 47. As a result of defendant's wrongful acts and omissions, plaintiffs have been injured and damaged and demand equitable remedies including restitution.

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION - DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 48. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein, as though fully set forth, the allegations of all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 49. Defendant KBMC controlled the investments of the Kuomintang which was the government of ROC 1927-2000. Plaintiffs seek a declaratory judgment that KBMC was the beneficiary of the defaulted bonds and that the assets were privatized and are controlled by KBMC.

1	XI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that the Court:	
3	1. Order defendants to make available all information relating to the defaulted bonds in	
4	their possession including the inflows to the defendants and outflows from the defendants of	
5	such assets connected with these bonds;	
6	2. Direct defendants to return all remaining assets belonging to plaintiffs and received	
7	by defendants and redeem the bonds in the amount of no less than \$2,973,533.00 plus interest	
8	penalties, and gold value;	
9	3. Award plaintiffs the value of any identified assets or their aliquot share of property	
10	deposited by defendants, plus interest compounded annually since received by defendants;	
11	4. Order defendants to disgorge any profits earned by trafficking in, disposing of, or	
12	concealing the assets which are related to the defaulted bonds;	
13	5. Award plaintiffs the costs of this action, including attorney and expert fees; and other	
14	reasonable expenses;	
15	6. Grant such other and further relief as shall seem just to the Court.	
16	DATED: January 25, 2010.	
17	THOMAS EASTON, Esq.	
18	THOWARD ENGTON, Esq.	
19	JONATHAN LEVY, Esq.	
20	Of Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	
2	VERIFICATION
3	
4	The undersigned John Hsieh, a citizen of California, declares under penalty of perjury of the
5	laws of the United States that he is an officer of the Taiwan Civil Rights Litigation Organization
6	a California non profit corporation, and that he has read the allegations of the verified complain
7	and that the allegations therein are true as to the best of his knowledge.
8	
9	
10	DATED January _22_, 2010
11	
12	
13	
14	John Hsieh
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Complaint  $\sim$  C10-362 JL

Page 14 of 14